

trial. Responses from authors of studies not sponsored by drug companies were easier to obtain.

**Conclusion** From trial registers and published protocols we have been able to identify many unpublished trials. Contacting the authors and companies is challenging. However, it is important to try to obtain unpublished trial reports to complete the evidence and reduce publication bias.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Chan AW, Song F, Vickers A, *et al.* Increasing value and reducing waste: addressing inaccessible research. *The Lancet* 2014;**383**(9913):257–266
- 2 Ioannidis JP. Clinical trials: what a waste. *BMJ* 2014;**349**:7089

49

## UNPUBLISHED STUDIES IN A PALLIATIVE CARE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW: A CASE STUDY

<sup>1</sup>Victoria Vickerstaff, <sup>1</sup>Louise Jones, <sup>2</sup>Philip Larkin, <sup>1</sup>Paddy Stone, <sup>1</sup>Bridget Candy, <sup>1</sup>Marie Curie Palliative Care Research Department, UCL Division of Psychiatry, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>UCD School of Nursing, Midwifery and Health Systems and Our Lady's Hospice and Care Services, UCD College of Health Sciences, Dublin, Ireland

10.1136/bmjspcare-2016-001204.49

**Introduction** Published literature provides only limited and possibly selective knowledge (Chan *et al.*, 2014; Ioannidis, 2014). We are updating a Cochrane systematic review on mu-opioids antagonistic for bowel dysfunction in palliative and cancer patients in which we hope to include all relevant literature, including unpublished results. We have identified several trials where it is not known whether the study has been completed and/or whether the results are available. Including the results from these unpublished studies is in the interest of patients, doctors and the pharmaceutical industry. Furthermore, it is an important ethical issue.

**Aim** To obtain unpublished results.

**Methods** We sought contact with the authors and trial sponsors for the 13 trials we identified with no published data. We also searched regulatory drug reports of these trials.

**Results** Two of the six drug companies approached responded to our letter. After inspecting the review protocol, one company stated that they were unable to give us the requested information as they disagreed with our choice of participants. A second company agreed to meet to discuss their work. They directed us to a relevant poster available on the company website and stated that they planned to write-up the